Case 2:21-bk-18572-BB Doc 284 Filed 11/22/22 Entered 11/22/22 11:51:02 Desc

I, Donald Charles Schwartz, JD, MBA, declare,

I am an attorney licensed to practice law in all courts in the State of California and am now the attorney of record for Edward R. Stolz, II and Royce International Broadcasting Corporation herein.

I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, would and could testify competently thereto.

Supplemental Facts re: Service Issue:

Neither Edward R. Stolz, II, Royce International Broadcasting Corporation, nor I made any effort whatsoever to serve the summons and complaint from the Alameda County Superior Court action on the Adli Law Group, P.C.

What transpired is as follows:

On August 9, 2022, Alex Baker substituted himself into the Alameda County Superior Court case to represent himself in pro per. A true and correct copy of the Substitution of Attorneys is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

On August 16, 2022, I offered to **Darius Adli** (**only**) to accept service of the summons in the Alameda County civil action. This email was directed solely to Darius Adli with a Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt only as to **Darius Adli** (**only**) A true and correct copy of the **Darius Adli** email is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Within the email solely directed to Darius Adli, is the "Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt of Service" for **Darius Adli only**. A true and correct copy of the "Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt of Service" for **Darius Adli (only)** is attached hereto as Exhibit B-1.

On August 24, 2022, further discussion occurred on the service issue and I instructed as follows:

"We are serving Dariush Adli only, not the Adli Law Group at this stage."

Ca	se 2:21-bk-18572-BB Doc 284 Filed 11/22/22 Entered 11/22/22 11:51:02 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 8
1	A true and correct copy of the Darius Adli instruction email is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
2	
3	Suddenly, on Aug. 24, 2022 I received a copy of an email to "USA Express"
4	with a service order directed by Alexander Baker as follows:
5	"Dear USA Express,
6	Please personally serve the attached Summons, Complaint, Cover Sheet
7	and Case Assignment on:
8	1. Adli Law Group, PC
9	2. Dariush Adli
10	Adli is a licensed attorney, so should not be hard to find him. Let me
11	know if you need assistance locating him.
12	-Alex Baker"
13	A true and correct copy of the Baker email is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
14	Traise and correct copy of the Bullet email is attached herete as Emilion B.
15	I immediately issued a Stop Order to the Process Server and Alex Baker, as
16	follows:
17	"I do not authorize serving the Adli Law Group – stop!!"
18	
19	A true and correct copy of my Stop Service email to the Process Server and Alexander Baker is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
20	
21	I thought the matter was over with when the Process Server emailed back to
22	me:
23	"Understood"
24	A true and correct copy of the "Understood" email from the Process Server is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
25	On Assessed 26, 2022 and then are all and the many day Delta 1.
26	On August 26, 2022 another email colloquy with Alexander Baker and myself took place as follows:

"Don,

close of husiness with good reason not to then both Adli	I'm sure you research is now complete. Unless you get back to me before close of business with good reason not to, then both Adli and Adli Law
	Group are being served "
3 4	A true and correct copy of the email colloquy is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
5	I immediately replied:
6	"There is no authority to serve the Adli Law Group at this time.
7	We are serving Dariush Adli only at this time."
8	
9	A true and correct copy of the email colloquy is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
10	Mr. Baker further replied:
11	"You are wrong If you have any legal objection to serving both
12	Defendants, voice that legal objection immediately, i.e., TODAY"
13	I then replied:
14	
15	"I have already objected to serving Adli Law Group – and my research is not complete.
16	You have no authority – and never did – to attempt to cause Adli Law
17	Group to be served with the Alameda County Superior Court case."
18	A true and correct copy of the email colloquy is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
19	Having heard nothing, while proactively performing oversight on the matter,
20	on September 1, 2022 I wrote to Alexander Baker:
21 22	"Alex,
	VV/l4 :- 4l4-46 4l A 31::
23	What is the status of the Adli service of process?
24 25	You were going to have Darius Adli (only) served (not the Adli Law Group)."
26	hereto as Exhibit I.
27	
28	Mr. Baker replied:

" I have instructed the process server to serve both defendants. I am in pro per on this matter
I immediately replied:
"Don't create maelstrom in the court.
I told the process server not to serve Adli Law Group."
A true and correct copy of my service inquiry emails with Alexander Baker are attached hereto as Exhibit I.
On September 5, 2022, Alexander Baker emailed:
"Don, Ed,
Attached are Proofs of Service for Adli and Adli Law Group, I paid
While Don didn't complete his "research", I did. My research concludes that we didn't do anything wrong "
I immediately replied:
"I instructed to stop the service against Adli Law – I take no responsibility for it.
You have gone around me – what an outrage!"
A true and correct copy of my outrage email to Alexander Baker is attached
hereto as Exhibit J.
On September 7, 2022, after trying to connect with debtor's counsel, Dean Rallis, I then sent to him the following email:
"Mr. Rallies, (sic)
Alex Baker substituted into the case for himself so I have no control over
what he is doing. The substitution is filed. He served the summons/complaint apparently on both defendants as to and for
himself only. You'll have to talk to him about what he is doing.
(asking for legal authorities re: dismissal)

1 Clearly the case can go forward as against co-defendant Dariush Adli. . . . 2 I do not consider the case served in any way on behalf of Royce and honor the potential stay on that issue until we finalize meet and confer. 3 4 I am concerned about the divergence of representation now arisen for plaintiffs Baker and Royce and am looking to resolve this issue as well, 5 but the stay issue needs to be finalized. I would think." 6 A true and correct copy of my outrage email to Alexander Baker is attached 7 hereto as Exhibit K. 8 On October 2, 2022, I received an email from Alexander Baker to the effect 9 that he intended to take a default. I replied to him as follows: 10 "... it is safer to assume the stay than not, since the sanction for stay violation 11 includes potential contempt. 12 As to Darius Adli personally, it appears that the law supports that there is no 13 stay in place. 14 Serving the Summons and Complaint on the Adli Law Group, P.C. is a risk 15 the process server and you took-on when the two of you defied my instructions not to serve the Adli Law Group, P.C. Now, taking a default is 16 quite another level of risk, which I cannot advise you about since I no longer 17 represent you in the matter. . . . " 18 A true and correct copy of my assumption of the risk re contempt email to 19 Alexander Baker is attached hereto as Exhibit L. 20 **Supplemental Facts re: Stay Issue:** 21 Attached hereto as Exhibit M is an article entitled "Tort Claims and 22 Automatic Stay" written by Lawrence D. Hirsch. that I found when I was doing my 23 legal research. While I am not a bankruptcy attorney, I took this article on its face when it said: 24 "INSURANCE AND TORT CLAIMS 25 26 27 There are more complicated circumstances when a personal injury tort claim . . . is pending when a Bankruptcy is filed . . . The Bankruptcy 28

Ca	se 2:21-bk-18572-BB Doc 284 Filed 11/22/22 Entered 11/22/22 11:51:02 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 8
1 2	Court itself does not have jurisdiction to hear a pending personal injury claim."
3	I took this to mean that the legal malpractice tort case against the Adli Law Group,
4	P.C. may not be under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court. This is part of thew
5	confusion in my mind – as well as the issue that Edward R. Stolz, II
6	A true and correct copy of the Hirsch article is attached hereto as Exhibit M.
7	Supplemental Facts re: Pre- and Post-Petition Accrual of Tort Vis-à-vis Stay
8	and Issue:
9	On or about September 30, 2021, I served the attached Exhibit N Letter to Darius
10	Ghaffar Adli, Esq. requesting the client files for, inter alia, Edward R. Stolz, II and Royce International Broadcasting Corporation. I received no reply.
11	
12	Thereafter, on or about January 2, 2022, I then served the attached Exhibit O Letter to Darius Ghaffar Adli, Esq. requesting the client files for, inter alia, Edward R. Stolz, II
and Royce International Broadcasting Corporation. Again, I received no reply.	and Royce International Broadcasting Corporation. Again, I received no reply.
14	I certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Signed under penalty of perjury
15	under the laws of the State of California.
16	Dated: November 22, 2022
17	Respectfully submitted,
18	/s/ Donald Charles Schwartz
19	
20	Donald Charles Schwartz, JD, MBA
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6

PROOF OF ESERVICE BY ECF

I am employed in the county of Santa Cruz, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 7960-B Soquel Drive, No. 291, Aptos, CA 95003.

On November 22, 2022, I served the attached

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DONALD CHARLES SCHWARTZ IN OPPOSITION TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE CONTEMPT

on the parties to said matter by causing a true copy of said document(s) to be transmitted by electronic mail to the electronic mail addresses of all parties listed with the Court in this matter on the ECF System.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in Aptos, California, on November 22, 2022.

/s/ Donald Charles Schwartz

Donald Charles Schwartz